subsequently bonus shares to the value of 1½ lakhs. Since then no dividend has been received.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Shall I understand that the companies are not earning any profit and that they are working under loss ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That inference is not correct. They have been earning profits, but the dividend has not been declared.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know who is managing these concerns, whether it is the Central Government or the State Government ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—There is a Committee of Management in which Mysore Government is represented.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Whether the supervisory control is vested in the Central Government or the State Government ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—It is under the control of the Central Government and the Managing Committee manages the whole thing.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—How do you communicate the views of Mysore to the Management ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The views of the Government of Mysore will be conveyed through the representative who is there.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—What are the facilities that the State Government has extended to the company in our State, Sir ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question does not arise out of this question.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—By whom are appointments made in these companies ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—It is the Managements who manage the whole thing including recruitment of necessary staff, labour, etc.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—What is the percentage of Mysorean representation in these factories ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I do not think they are making any territorial classification in these appointments ?

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not desirable for the Mysore Government to insist upon these Managements to see that proper representation is given in view of the fact that you have extended a number of facilities to these factories in Mysore ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That would be a matter of opinion.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Is there a proposal to take over these shares and relieve Mysore Government of all responsibility ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That would be the easiest thing. But the fact is whether, in a national industry, the State should not have a proper place.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ interest ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಯಿತು. ಟೆಲಿಫೋನ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರೀ ಮತ್ತು ಏರೋಪ್ಲೇನ್ ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟರಿ ಡಿಫೆನ್ಸ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸಸ್‌ಗಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದವು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಿರುವ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತೆಗೆದು ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಜವಾಬು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು National Concern. ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ನಮಗೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಥಾನ ಇರಬೇಕೇ ಬೇಡವೇ ಎಂಬ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನವೂ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಭಾಗವೂ ಇದ್ದೇ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ, ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That is a matter of opinion.

Non-Gazetted Officers in the Secretariat (Delay in Confirmation).

Q.—239. Sri D. VENKATESH (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that confirmation of Non-Gazetted Officers is not being made regularly in the Mysore Government Secretariat;

(*b*) the strength of the Non-Gazetted staff (permanent and temporary to be given separately) in the Secretariat;

(*c*) the number of vacancies available for confirmation of officials in each cadre and the dates of occurrence of such vacancies, particulars in respect of each post in all the grades to be given in the Secretariat;

(SRI D. VENKATESH.)

(*d*) whether the officials would be confirmed in the available vacancies at least now in the Secretariat;

(*e*) if so, what the time within which confirmation of the officials of the Secretariat can be expected to be completed;

(*f*) whether the delay in making timely confirmations in the Secretariat would not lead to passing over the claims of qualified candidates on the date of occurrence of vacancies and give time to non-qualified candidates to get themselves qualified and thereby get confirmed earlier than the qualified candidates on the date of occurrence of vacancies?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education) [On behalf of SRI K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) No.

(*b*)

	Kind of Post.	*Number*		
		Permanent.	*Temporary.*	*Total.*
1.	Superintendents ...	20	3	23
2.	I Division Clerks ...	150	68	218
3.	II Division Clerks ...	75	57	132
4.	I Grade Stenographers ...	38	25	63
5.	II Grade Typists ...	19	22	41
6.	Drivers	19	3	22
7.	Doctor	1	Nil.	1
8.	Compounder	1	...	1
9.	Watch and Ward Supervisor ...	1	...	1
10.	Typewriter Mechanic ...	1	...	1
11.	Clock Mechanic ...	1	...	1
12.	Cash Clerk	1	...	1
13.	Multigraph Setters ...	2	...	2
14.	Mutchis and Book-binders inclusive of Attenders.	41	3	44
	Total ...	370	181	551

(*c*)

Kind of appointment.	*No of vacancies available for confirmation.*	*From date*
Superintendents ...	2	24th May 1954
I Division Clerks ...	102	17th August 1954
II Division Clerks ...	75	Do
I Grade Stenographers ...	31	11th May 1954
II Grade Typists ...	16	Do.
Mutchis and Book-binders ...	2	June 1954

(*d*) Yes; it is being done.

(*e*) Very early.

(*f*) No.

MR. SPEAKER.—The next question No. 265 may also be taken up along with this question.

Q.—265. Sri M. LINGANNA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of sanctioned posts of permanent First and Second Division Clerks in the Secretariat on 1st September 1954;

(*b*) the number of qualified officials remaining unconfirmed in the above two cadres and the length of their service in each division?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—(Minister for Law and Education) [On behalf of Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a)	*Kind of Post*	*Total sanctioned permanent strength*	*No. of posts admitting of confirmations*
	First Division Clerks ...	150	... 102
	Second Division Clerks ...	75	... 75
(b)	Number of qualified First Division Clerks awaiting confirmation		184
	Number of qualified Second Division Clerks awaiting confirmation		91
	Length of Service in the Secretariat ...		From 6 years to 1 year.

Note.—The question of confirmation is under active consideration of Government.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Are there any instances where the seniormost, qualified, meritorious, efficient officials have been overlooked and only juniormost officials have been confirmed, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Confirmation and promotion are generally done according to rules and no supersessions of the kind suggested have taken place.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—If such instances are brought to notice, would the Government rectify the same, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Certainly.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know the reasons why qualified First Division Clerks were not confirmed till now ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—There were two difficulties. One is the re-organisation in 1946 when a number of people were upgraded and their places required consideration. Apart from upgrading, there were people who were coming from other Departments. Their places in the seniority list are continuing. These two things are under the consideration of the Government and I hope it will be settled shortly.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Have Government sought the permission of the Public Service Commission so far as the confirmation of these clerks are concerned ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Their opinion has also been taken.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Since how many months back the opinion of the Public Service Commission was taken ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Notice.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—In the last sentence it is said :

'Length of service in the Secretariat is from 6 years to 1 year'

Does it refer to First Division Clerks or Second Division Clerks ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, I must make one point clear regarding confirmation of these officials. Many of them are pooled in the supernumerary cadre in the First Division, and they must be confirmed. That question is awaiting settlement and I am sure that will be settled very early.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—So far as Second Division posts are concerned, it is given as 75 and the number of qualified Second Division Clerks as 91. What would be the fate of the others that would be left over after confirming 75 ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The strength of the cadre and the number that will have to be confirmed is given. Though the number is given as 91, the bulk of that are supernumerary First Division Clerks. They will have to be confirmed first and then the Second Division Clerks should be confirmed.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Shall I take it that some of them would be left over ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Subject to the strength of the cadre, we will confirm all of them.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—What time Government would take to confirm them, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I have already said that serious attention is being paid and this would be done very early.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಫಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿವಿಜ಼ನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಕೆಂಡ್ ಡಿವಿಜ಼ನ್ ಕ್ಲಾರ್ಕುಗಳು 1 : 5 ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಿಯಮವಿದೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇದೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿಯೇ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿರುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಮೇಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ರೀತಿಯೋಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಿಲ್ಲವೇ? ಫಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿವಿಜ್ಕ್ ಕ್ಲಾರ್ಕುಗಳು 50 ಜನರಿದ್ದರೆ, ಸೆಕೆಂಡ್ ಡಿವಿಜ್ಕ್ ಕ್ಲಾರ್ಕುಗಳು 250 ಇರಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—In the Secretariat, there is a peculiar circumstance and therefore this proportion is fixed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಇಷ್ಟುಬಂದ ಹಾಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಮೋಷ್ಕ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಹೇಗೆ? ಇಷ್ಟು ಜನರನ್ನು ಫಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿವಿಜ್ಕ್‌ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇದನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡಿ 7-8 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದುವು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹಾಗೆ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆ, ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಖಾಯಂ ಫಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿವಿಜ್ಕ್ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳು 150 ಇರುವಾಗ ಈಗಿರುವ 184 ಜನರನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡುವುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಪ್ಲೇನಸ್ ಇರುವುದು 150; 184 ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್ಸ್ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

Confidential information (Selling by Secretariat Officials)

Q.—318. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The number of Superintendents and other officials of the Mysore Government Secretariat who have been discovered by the Chief Minister to be selling confidential information ;

(*b*) the number of such officials who have been transferred out of the Secretariat on that ground since 23rd September 1954 ;

(*c*) the nature of the agency employed by the Chief Minister for making this discovery and the expenditure incurred ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education) [On behalf of Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) The matter is under investigation.

(*b*) and (*c*) Does not arise in view of the answer to (*a*) above.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what is the matter that is under investigation ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The question itself suggests the answer as to the matter which is being investigated.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is there any ascertained number of cases that have come to the notice of the Government of the kind referred to in the question?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That is also under investigation ; but I may say this, necessarily and naturally it would be a small number.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is the Hon'ble Minister in a position to state the actual number of cases that have come to the notice of the Government ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Just at present I am not in a position to give the number.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is he not in a position to say one or ten or hundred ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—As I said, it is a small number.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what is the nature of agency to investigate ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—It is an ordinary official enquiry.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the designation of the official who has been deputed for making this investigation ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—No special officer has been appointed for the purpose.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Then, who is making the investigation ? Is it any of the Ministers or is it any servant of the Government ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Usual official channels would be used for the purpose.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the cause for secrecy in stating the designation of the official deputed for this purpose ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I have answered that question, Sir.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Hon'ble Minister has not given any reason for not disclosing the designation of the official agency employed for the purpose.